

## Mansfield Conservation Commission

Meeting of May 15, 2002  
Audrey P. Beck Building, Conf. Room B  
7:30-9:40 PM

**Call to Order:** 7:35 PM.

**Adjourn:** 9:40 PM

**Present:** *Members* - Robert Thorson, Jennifer Kaufman, Robert Dahn, Quentin Kessel, John Silander, Mary Rodgers, Denise Burchsted *Staff* - Grant Meitzler *Visitors* - Tom Callahan.

**Minutes:** Minutes of previous meeting approved after minor corrections to be entered by Kaufman.

**Mission Statement:** Minor discussion, then tabled further discussion.

**Updates:** Torrey Property, Lands of Unique Value, Town water study, Upcoming meeting of conservation organizations.

**Fenton River Aquifer Mapping:** Thorson discussed his earlier memo "cursory review" and the summary of that memo. Tom Callahan, in an effort to mitigate and ameliorate potential conflicts in methodology and purpose (scope of work) between the consultants (Legett, Brashears and Graham), UConn, and the scientific expertise on the Mansfield Conservation Commission, suggested a meeting between these three parties. Callahan would arrange a date for the meeting. Silander, Thorson, and Kessel agreed to attend.

### **IWA Issues:**

1175 - Holinko. Kessel/Rodgers moved "no significant negative impact provided that siltation/erosion control methods are in place. Motion passed with Thorson abstaining.

1176 - Stone/Westgate. Kessel/Dahn moved "no significant negative impact provided that siltation/erosion control methods are in place." Motion passed; Kaufman recused herself.

1177-Palmer/Benson. Kessel/Silander moved "no significant negative impact provided that siltation/erosion control methods are in place." Motion passed unanimously. There was broad consensus for concern regarding the flux of diversion water through the pond (rather than the brook channel), and to the possible use of algaecide near the stream.

1778-Autoparts Kessel/Thorson. Based on 2 years cleanup data, the MCC is unable to judge the merits of this case, leaving it up to the regulators to decide. However, it was agreed that there is the potential for serious negative

environmental impact, especially with regard to rule changes. " Motion to comment passed.

1179 - Gormley. Thorson/Kaufman. Motion that "no significant negative impact provided that siltation/erosion control methods are in place." Unanimous.